UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/539,667 | 03/10/2006 | Taisuke Matsumoto | MAT-8703US | 4670 |
| 23122 RATNERPRES | 7590 01/15/200 STIA | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 980 | CE DA 10492 | NOORISTANY, SULAIMAN | | |
| VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2446 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/15/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/539,667 | MATSUMOTO ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| SULAIMAN NOORISTANY | 2446 | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on | the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>05 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC. | ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods: | (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than | Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance v | with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of |
| | ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior | r to the data of filing a brief will not be entered because |
| (a) The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but pro- | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | don and/or search (see NOTE below), |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or | for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond | anding number of finally rejected claims |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | v issues after final rejection, which has changed the scope of the |
| claims, therefore, it would require further searches and co | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was | e all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | status of the claims after entry is below or attached. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does it | NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S 13. Other: | B/08) Paper No(s) |
| /Jeffrey Pwu/ | /O. N. / |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446 | /S. N./ |
| Capol visory i atom Examinor, Art Offic 2440 | Examiner, Art Unit 2446 |
| | |